arXiv:cond-mat/0509525v1 [cond-mat.other] 20 Sep 2005

# The structure of the ground superfluid state in a gas of Fermi atoms near the Feshbach resonance

Yu. Kagan and L. A. Maksimov
*Kurchatov Institute, Moscow 123182, Russia*

Within the framework of the variational approach the ground state is studied in a gas of Fermi atoms near the Feshbach resonance at negative scattering length. The structure of the originating superfluid state is formed by two coherently bound subsystems. One subsystem is that of quasi molecules in the closed channel and the other is a system of pairs of atoms in the open channel. The set of equations derived allows us to describe the properties of the ground state at an arbitrary magnitude of the parameters. In particular, it allows one to find a gap in the spectrum of single-particle Fermi excitations and sound velocity characterizing a branch of collective Bose excitations.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Kk, 74.20.Mn, 67.60.-g

Recently, the trend of investigations, associated with ultracold gases of Fermi atoms and Bose molecules generated on their base, has been established and actively developed. The key point here is a use of the Feshbach resonance for the pair interaction of low-energy Fermi particles. The dependence of the resonance position on steady magnetic field $B$ has given a unique opportunity for continuous variation of the effective interparticle interaction and for the change its sign (sign of scattering length $a$) with variation of magnetic field [1].

Several stages of studies in that field elapsed for a relatively short time interval. At the first stage there is demonstrated a production of ultracold molecules $^{40}K_2$ [2] and $^6Li_2$ [3], [4], [5] in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance at magnitudes $B$ corresponding to the positive scattering length and, thus, existence of the genuine bound state near the dissociation threshold. In both cases the resonance occurs in the channel of $S$-scattering. For fermions polarized over electron spin, this supposes the interaction of non-identical atoms in different hyperfine states.

It is found that the molecules produced live relatively long in such excited state. This supposes a possibility for the quasi adiabatic transition with variation of field $B$ from the state with $a < 0$ in which genuine molecules do not form into the state with $a > 0$ and *vice versa*. The nature for suppression of inelastic processes during collisions with the transition of molecules to deeper levels is explained consistently in [6].

The conservation of molecules at one vibrational level facilitates the conditions for Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in originating gas of composite bosons. In the explicit form the condensation of excited molecules is discovered in a gas of atoms $^{40}K$ [7] as well as in $^6Li$ [8], [9], [10].

The greatest interest in this field is connected with the study of Fermi atoms near the Feshbach resonance at magnetic fields corresponding to the scattering length $a < 0$.

A possibility to choose the parameters, converting rarefied gas into the system of strongly interacting particles with the simultaneous lack of real molecules, makes an analysis of many-particle superfluid state, which appears in these conditions, especially interesting. For the first time, the question on the use of the Feshbach resonance for achieving superfluidity in a ultracold gas of Fermi atoms is discussed in [11], [12]. The problem of reconstructing the superfluid state in the course of the transition from the weak attraction to the strong one is considered earlier in the known papers [13], [14]. The interaction had a single channel character and the spin configuration remained unchanged. The specific feature of the Feshbach resonance lies in the two-channel character of the interaction. Particles in the continuous spectrum interact via the formation of the intermediate quasi-molecule state in the other spin configuration. A snapshot fixes a two-component character of the system with pairs of particles in the quasi-molecular or unbound states. A dynamic coherent exchange realizes between two subsystems, providing permanency in equilibrium at the amplitude level of the population for the quasi-molecular state (decaying in vacuum). The coherent superposition of the quasi-molecular states and pairs of atoms in the continuous spectrum provides the formation of the superfluid state with the unified condensate.

For the first time, the picture of such state is revealed experimentally at first in gas $^{40}K$ [15] and then in gas $^6Li$ [16]. The idea of experiment is in the adiabatic projection of the $a < 0$ state onto the genuine molecular state with $a > 0$ due to sufficiently rapid variation of field $B$, preventing from the formation of the condensate in the course of the transition. Special measurements have confirmed the latter assumption, see, in particular, recent paper [17]. The experimental results in this field have stimulated the appearance of a series of theoretical works [18],[19],[20],[21],[22] with the attempt of the microscopic description of the strongly interacting Fermi atoms, employing, however, one or another variants of the perturbation theory.

The present work is devoted to finding the ground state of the interacting Fermi atom system near the Feshbach resonance at $a < 0$ within the framework of variational approach. As a result, there appears an opportunity for unified description of the ground state at an arbitrary relation of parameters.

A choice of the macroscopic variational function $\Psi_0$ reflects the fact that the ground state of the system in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance is a unified state formed in essence by two coherently bound subsystems, namely, subsystem of Fermi atoms in the BCS-like state and subsystem of Bose quasi-molecules in the condensate state. In addition, $\Psi_0$ contains the states of quasi-molecules with nonzero momentum of the gravity center $k \neq 0$ and those of pairs of atoms with nonzero total momentum. This allows from the very beginning to involve abovecondensate excited states (temperature $T = 0$), which play a noticeable role during the formation of the ground state, into the variational procedure. The system of equations obtained gives an opportunity, in particular, to find a gap in the spectrum of single particle excitations and velocity of sound characterizing a branch of collective Bose excitations and, thus, to determine the critical velocity.

In the present work we give a solution of this set of equations in explicit form for the limiting cases which allow an analytical solution. We restrict ourselves with an assumption of the smallness of the resonance coupling constant. The numerical solution in the general case will be given elsewhere.

To make analysis more transparent, we consider the uniform system. The exchange between the subsystems of Fermi atoms and quasi-molecules with the conservation of the total number of atoms determines the appearance of a single chemical potential $\mu$. The generalized Hamiltonian of the system can be written as

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{H} - \mu \hat{N} = \sum_{p,\sigma} \xi_p \hat{a}^+_{p\sigma} \hat{a}_{p\sigma} + \sum_k \zeta_k \hat{b}^+_k \hat{b}_k + \hat{V} + \hat{V}'_a + \hat{V}''_a. \tag{1}$$

Here $\hat{a}_{p\sigma}$ is the annihilation operator of Fermi atom in the open channel, $\sigma = 1, 2$ identifies the hyperfine state of an atom in the binary mixture and $\hat{b}_k$ is the annihilation operator of quasi-molecules.

$$\xi_p = \hbar^2 p^2/2m - \mu, \quad \zeta_k = \zeta_0 + \hbar^2 k^2/4m, \quad \zeta_0 = \varepsilon_0 - 2\mu,$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ is a position of the resonance level in the closed channel, $m$ is the atom mass (we neglect a difference between hyperfine energies in states 1 and 2). The operator

$$\hat{V} = g \sum_{p,k} (\hat{b}^+_k \hat{a}_{k/2-p,2} \hat{a}_{k/2+p,1} + \hat{a}^+_{k/2+p,1} \hat{a}^+_{k/2-p,2} \hat{b}_k) \tag{2}$$

corresponds to the exchange resonance coupling between particles in the open and closed channels. Hereafter, we suppose that volume $\Omega = 1$.

In the explicit form the coupling between pairs with zero total momentum is selected from the nonresonance interaction between atoms in the open channel in (1)

$$\hat{V}'_a = U_0 \sum_{p_1, p_2} \hat{a}^+_{p_1,1} \hat{a}^+_{-p_1,2} a_{-p_2,2} a_{p_2,1}, \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{V}''_a = U_0 \sum_{p_1, p_2, q \neq 0} \hat{a}^+_{p_1,1} \hat{a}^+_{q-p_1,2} a_{q-p_2,2} a_{p_2,1}. \tag{4}$$

The Hamiltonian in the form (1), in fact, coincides with that widely used for the analysis of gases under Feshbach resonance, see, eg., [18],[19],[20],[21],[22]. The single distinction is in the involvement of interaction (4) which role can be noticeable in the general case. Strictly speaking, in Hamiltonian (1) the terms with the nonresonance interaction of atoms with quasi-molecules and between quasi-molecules are omitted. However, both these interactions are produced by the resonance interaction (2).

The many-particle variational function is taken in the form

$$\Psi_0 = \hat{\Psi}_M \hat{\Psi}'_M \hat{\Psi}_A \left|0\right\rangle \tag{5}$$

The field operator of atoms has a usual representation

$$\hat{\Psi}_A = \prod_p \left[ u_p + v_p \hat{a}^+_{p,1} \hat{a}^+_{-p,2} \right]$$

In the coherent representation the field operator of quasi-molecules in the state $k = 0$ has the form

$$\hat{\Psi}_M = e^{-\frac{1}{2} M_0} \exp\left( \sqrt{M_0} \hat{b}^+_0 \right)$$

It is assumed that the number of such quasi-molecules $M_0$ is large.

A special feature of the structure for the many-particle variational function (5) is an involvement of the states connected with virtual production of excited quasi-molecules. The corresponding operator is represented as

$$\hat{\Psi}'_M = \prod_{k \neq 0} [F_k + G_k \sum_p \hat{b}^+_k \hat{a}_{k/2-p,2} \hat{a}_{k/2+p,1}]$$

Note that the order of operators in (5) is essential. Operator $\hat{\Psi}'_M$ acts on the the state resulted from the action of operator $\hat{\Psi}_A$ on the vacuum. The normalization of function (5) results in two relations

$$u^2_p + v^2_p = 1, \; F^2_k + w_k G^2_k = 1, \tag{6}$$

where

$$w_k = \sum_p v^2_{p+k/2} v^2_{p-k/2}. \tag{7}$$

We assume that coefficients $u_p, \; v_p$ , $F_k, \; G_k$ are real.

The variational procedure reduces to finding the energy

$$\bar{E} = \left\langle \Psi_0 \left| \hat{H}' \right| \Psi_0 \right\rangle$$

and varying it over functions $v_p$ , $F_k$, $M_0$ with account of relations (6). Taking the structure of variational function (5) and the form of Hamiltonian (1) into account, we find

$$\begin{gathered}\bar{E} = 2\sum_p \xi_p v_p^2 + \zeta_0 M_0 + 2\sqrt{M_0}\sum_p g u_p v_p \\ +\sum_{k\neq 0} w_k(\zeta_k G_k^2 + 2gG_kF_k)] \\ +U_0\left(\sum_p u_p v_p\right)^2 + U_0\left(\sum_p v_p^2\right)^2 .\end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

For unit volume which we took, the magnitude $M_0$ equals, in fact, to the density of quasi-molecules with $k = 0$.

For the successive derivation of (8), functions $v_p^2$ are multiplied by a factor $\exp(-z_p)$ and $u_p v_p$ by factor $\exp(-2z_p)$ where $z_p = \sum_k G_k^2 v_p^2 v_{p-k}^2$. The direct calculations show that magnitude $z_p$ proves to be small compared with unity, at least, in the region of parameters interesting for us. The involvement of these factors practically has no influence on the results of the variational procedure. We put them equal to 1.

Varying over $v_p$, we find

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{\delta\bar{E}}{\delta v_p} = 4\xi_p v_p + \left(u_p - \frac{v_p^2}{u_p}\right)\left[2\sqrt{M_0}g + 2U_0\sum_{p'} u_{p'}v_{p'}\right] \\ +4U_0 v_p\sum_{p'} v_{p'}^2 \\ +\sum_{k\neq 0}\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial v_p}(\zeta_k G_k^2 + 2gG_kF_k - gw_k\frac{G_k^3}{F_k}) = 0\end{gathered} \tag{9}$$

Varying over $G_k$ and taking (6) into account, one has

$$\frac{\delta\bar{E}}{\delta G_k} = \frac{2w_k}{F_k}\left[\zeta_k G_k F_k + g - 2gw_k G_k^2\right] = 0 \tag{10}$$

Using this equation and the relation resulted from (7)

$$\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial v_p} = 4v_{p-k}^2 v_p,$$

we rewrite (9) in the form

$$2\xi_p' u_p v_p + \left(1 - 2v_p^2\right)[\sqrt{M_0}g + U_0\sum_{p'} u_{p'}v_{p'}] = 0. \tag{11}$$

Here

$$\xi_p' = \xi_p + d_p,\ d_p = \sum_{k\neq 0} v_{p-k}^2 gG_kF_k + U_0\sum_{p'} v_{p'}^2. \tag{12}$$

Finally, varying over $M_0$, we arrive at

$$\zeta_0 + g\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}\sum_p u_p v_p = 0. \tag{13}$$

The extremum determined by conditions (10), (11), and (13) corresponds to the energy minimum provided that

$$gu_pv_p < 0, \quad gG_kF_k < 0$$

Hence, in particular, it follows that the first term in (12) and the second in (13) are negative. For definiteness, henceforth we put $g > 0$.

Let us introduce the notation

$$\Delta = g\sqrt{M_0} + U_0\sum_p u_p v_p$$

Solving equation (11) with (6), we find the expressions similar to the BCS theory

$$\begin{gathered}v_p^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 - \tfrac{\xi_p'}{E_p}), u_p^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(1 + \tfrac{\xi_p'}{E_p}) \\ 2u_pv_p = -\tfrac{\Delta}{E_p},\ E_p = \sqrt{\xi_p'^2 + \Delta^2}\end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

Then the equation for the gap $\Delta$ reads

$$\Delta + \frac{1}{2}U_0\sum_p\frac{\Delta}{E_p} = g\sqrt{M_0} \tag{15}$$

At the same time equation (13) goes over into

$$\varepsilon_0 - 2\mu = \frac{1}{2}g\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_0}}\sum_p\frac{\Delta}{E_p} \tag{16}$$

It is interesting that the role of virtual excited quasi-molecules in (15) and (16) is reduced to the renormalization of the atom spectrum, see, (12) and (14).

Solving equation (10) with (6) allows us directly to find

$$G_k^2 = \frac{1}{2w_k}(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\zeta_k^2}{\zeta_k^2 + 4g^2w_k}}) \tag{17}$$

Equations (15) and (16) contains formally an integral diverging at the upper limit. This divergency is related with the character of introducing parameters $\varepsilon_0$, $g$ and $U_0$ into Hamiltonian (1). In the general case this requires the introduction an upper cutoff for the momentum region region in which the interaction realizes effectively. However, in some important cases such cutoff arises in the natural way.

Let us consider the most interesting case when the resonance interaction $U_0 = 0$ is determining. Then from Eq. (15) we have

$$\Delta_0 = g\sqrt{M_0} \tag{18}$$

The magnitude $\varepsilon_0$ in Hamiltonian (1) corresponds to the position of the resonance level. At $g \neq 0$ the level renormalizes even in the limit of density tending to zero, i.e., in vacuum. In this limit this can straightforwardly be traced by calculating the scattering amplitude for a pair of atoms with zero total momentum which is determined by interaction (2). Summing a series of the perturbation theory at $E \to 0$, we obtain, (cf. [22]),

$$f(E) = -\frac{\hbar s/\sqrt{m}}{E - \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_p\varepsilon_p^{-1} + is\sqrt{E}},\ s = \frac{m^{3/2}}{4\pi\hbar^3}g^2$$

It is seen that the resonance level energy at $g \neq 0$ renormalizes and takes the value

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_p\frac{1}{\varepsilon_p}$$

In the many-particle problem it is natural to treat magnitude $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ as a real position of the resonance level. Subtracting $\frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_p\frac{1}{\varepsilon_p}$ from the both sides of (16) and taking (18) into account, we have

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - 2\mu = \frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_p(\frac{1}{E_p} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_p}) \tag{19}$$

Provided that the nonresonance interaction is involved, the situation becomes more complicated. However, treating (15) and (16) jointly, we find the equation which again does not contain divergency

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - 2\mu = \frac{1}{2}g^2\sum_p(\frac{1}{E_p} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_p}) - \frac{g^2}{U_0}\frac{(\Delta-\Delta_0)^2}{\Delta\Delta_0} \tag{20}$$

If one employs the known relation between $U_0$ and nonresonance scattering length $a_{bg}$ beyond the Born approximation, see [23], the gap equation (15) can be transformed as

$$\Delta + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m}a_{bg}\sum_p(\frac{1}{E_p} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_p}) = g\sqrt{M_0} \tag{21}$$

For $g = 0$, this equation reduces to the one familiar in the theory of superconductivity, see [13]. Assuming that the gas parameter is small for the purely nonresonance interaction, $U_0$ in (20) and (12) can be replaced with $4\pi\hbar^2 a_{bg}/m$. Solving equations (20), (21) and (17) together with (6), (12) and (14), we find values $\Delta, M_0, G_k^2$ as a function of chemical potential $\mu$ for fixed $g$, $a_{bg}$, and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$. The values obtained allows us to determine the number of atoms

$$N_A = \sum_{p,\sigma}\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat{a}^+_{p\sigma}\hat{a}_{p\sigma}\,|\Psi_0\rangle = 2\sum_p v_p^2 = \sum_p(1 - \frac{\xi'_p}{E_p}) \tag{22}$$

and the number of virtually excited molecules

$$M' = \sum_{k\neq 0}\langle\Psi_0|\,\hat{b}^+_k\hat{b}_k\,|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{k\neq 0} w_k G_k^2 \tag{23}$$

The relation for the total number of atoms in the system

$$N_A + 2M_0 + 2M' = N_t \tag{24}$$

determines the equation to find chemical potential $\mu(N_t)$.

As a result, the relative values $N_A/N_t$, $M_0/N_t$, $M'/N_t$ can be found as functions of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2\varepsilon_t$ for the fixed dimensionless parameter

$$\gamma = \frac{g\sqrt{N_t}}{\varepsilon_t} \tag{25}$$

Here $\varepsilon_t$ is the Fermi energy corresponding to the total density $N_t$ of noninteracting atoms. It is worthwhile to note that the system of equations obtained holds only for the interval of variation $\varepsilon_0/2\varepsilon_t$ in which condition $M_0 \gg 1$ conserves.

Let us make an important remark. In the strongly interacting many-particle system the superpositional character of the ground state near the Feshbach resonance makes the definitions *atoms and molecules* relatively conditional. In fact, this is projections of the many-particle wave function onto the corresponding states.

In the present paper we give a solution of the system of equations obtained in some limiting cases which allow analytical description. Let us first be $g \to 0$ and $U_0 > 0$. From Eq. (21) it follows that $\Delta = 0$ and from (17) it goes $G_k = 0$.

According to (20) under these conditions the chemical potential $\mu = \tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2$ for an arbitrary $U_0 \neq 0$. The number of particles in the atom subsystem equals

$$N_A = 2\sum_p\theta(-\xi'_p).$$

with quantity $\xi'_p$ equal to

$$\xi'_p = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 + \frac{1}{2}U_0 N_A$$

in accordance with (12). Taking (24) into account and that $M'_{g=0} = 0$, we find straightforwardly that in the interval

$$0 < \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 < \varepsilon_t + \frac{1}{2}U_0 N_t \tag{26}$$

gas of atoms in the normal state is in equilibrium with the condensate of quasi-molecules. At the left-hand boundary at $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \to 0$ the number of atoms $N_A \to 0$ and all particles prove to be in the condensate of quasimolecules. As $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ grows, the magnitude $M_0$ falls continuously. So, at the right-hand boundary of interval (26), $N_A = N_t$, i.e., the purely atomic phase appears. For $U_0 < 0$, the picture of behavior $M_0$ and $N_A$ remains qualitatively same. However, the condensate of quasi-molecules vanishes with growth of *tilde*$\varepsilon_0$ before, approximately at $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \simeq \varepsilon_t - \frac{1}{2}|U_0|\,N_t$. In fact, this shift enhances to some extent owing to appearance of the gap $\Delta$ in the spectrum of atoms, which can be found from solving Eq. (21) at $g \to 0$.

Let us turn to the solution of the system of equations obtained above when the resonance interaction is dominant. We omit the terms with $U_0$ and restrict ourselves with values $g$ which correspond to the small dimensionless parameter $\gamma \ll 1$. Then in accordance with (18) and (25) one has $\Delta/\varepsilon_t = \gamma\sqrt{M_0/N_t} \ll 1$.

One can straightforwardly see that $|\,d_p| \sim \gamma^m$ with $m \geq 5/2$ in (12) and the renormalization of the spectrum can be neglected. Let us start from the consideration of interval $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \gg \Delta$. In this case from Eqs. (19) we can find

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 - \frac{3}{8}\gamma^2\,(\mu\varepsilon_t)^{1/2}\ln(\mu/\Delta) \tag{27}$$

Calculating (22), we have for the number of atoms

$$\frac{N_A}{N_t} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{3/2} + O\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{\mu^2}\right)$$

Determining quantities $w_k$ (7) and $G_k^2$ (17), for the number of abovecondensate quasi-molecules (23) in the limit $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \gg \Delta$ we obtain

$$\frac{2M'}{N_t} \simeq \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{3/2}\left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{9/8}$$

Employing relation (24), we find the number of condensate quasi-molecules

$$\frac{2M_0}{N_t} \simeq 1 - \left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{3/2}\left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{9/8} \qquad (28)$$

The magnitude $(\tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2\varepsilon_t)_*$, where $M_0$ vanishes, one can estimate if the second term in (27) is omitted.

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0}{2\varepsilon_t}\right)_* \simeq 1 - \frac{4}{3}\gamma^{3/2} \qquad (29)$$

In narrow interval of about $\gamma^{3/2}$ in the vicinity of this boundary the number of excited quasi-molecules $M'$ proves to be larger than $M_0$. As $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ decreases, the number of condensate particles $M_0$ increases rapidly and $M_0 \gg M'$.

Let us find now the magnitude of sound velocity $C$ in the system. For the equilibrium exchange of atoms between both subsystems, the sound velocity is determined by the thermodynamic relation

$$C^2 = \frac{N_t}{m}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial N_t}, \qquad (30)$$

characteristic for the single-component systems, see, eg., [23]. For $g \to 0$, one has $C^2 = 0$. This result is a consequence of the transition of Fermi particles with compression to the quasi-molecular subsystem and $\partial p/\partial \rho = 0$.

The similar effect takes place in an ideal Bose-gas at $T < T_c$ when a fraction of abovecondensate particles converts to the condensate with the pressure growth.

When calculating the derivative $\partial\mu/\partial N_t$, only the dependence $\Delta$ on $M_0$ in the logarithm argument proves to be essential. (The involvement of the dependence of the second term in (27) on $\mu$ gives corrections $\sim \gamma^2$)

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial N_t} = \frac{3}{16}\gamma^2\left(\mu\varepsilon_t\right)^{1/2}\frac{1}{M_0}\frac{\partial M_0}{\partial N_t}$$

Let us use the relation (28) omitting the last term on the right-hand side in the course of calculating $\partial M_0/\partial N_t$. In the derivative there appears a term proportional to $\frac{1}{M_0}\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial N_t}$, which plays a significant role near the boundary (29) within the interval in which $M_0/N_t$ becomes $\sim \gamma^2$. Returning to definition (30), we find a general expression for the sound velocity

$$C^2 = \frac{C_*^2}{1 + \left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{1/2}\frac{3m}{2\varepsilon_t}C_*^2}, \quad C_*^2 = \frac{3}{16}\gamma^2\frac{\varepsilon_t}{m}\left(\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon_t}\right)^{1/2}\frac{N_t}{M_0} \qquad (31)$$

Far from the boundary (29)

$$C^2 = C_*^2 \qquad (32)$$

In the limit $\mu \to \varepsilon_t$ and $M_0 \to 0$ we find

$$C^2 = \frac{1}{3}\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{m} \qquad (33)$$

It is interesting that this limiting value is reached from the region where $M_0 \neq 0$ and the resonance interaction is absent. Thus the sound velocity as a function of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2\varepsilon_t$ grows continuously to value (33) typical for the collective oscillation of an ensemble of free Fermi particles. For $\gamma^2 \ll 1$, the drastic growth $C^2$ to the value (33) occurs within narrow interval of about $\gamma^2$.

Consider now the region $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon}_0 < \Delta$. Equation (19) in this limit goes over into

$$\mu \simeq \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 + 0.8g^2\frac{(2m)^{3/2}}{4\pi^2}\Delta^{1/2} \qquad (34)$$

Accordingly, from (22) we find

$$\frac{N_A}{N_t} \simeq 0.8\gamma^{3/2}\left(\frac{M_0}{N_t}\right)^{3/4}$$

Determining the value $M'$ from (23), we have

$$\frac{2M'}{N_t} \simeq \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{21/8}$$

From the values obtained it follows directly that most of particles in this region are in the condensate of quasi-molecules

$$\frac{2M_0}{N_t} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{21/8}$$

Employing relations (30) and (34), we find for the sound velocity

$$C^2 \simeq \frac{\varepsilon_t}{3m}\gamma^{5/2} \qquad (35)$$

From (34) it is seen that the chemical potential tends to zero at

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 \simeq -\gamma^{5/2}\varepsilon_t$$

In order to obtain a complete picture, let us consider the region of negative $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$, assuming that $\Delta \ll |\tilde{\varepsilon}_0|$. It is evident that real molecules dominate in this region. Admixture of atomic states is small.

$$\frac{N_A}{N_t} = \frac{3}{4}\gamma^2\left(\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{1/2}\frac{M_0}{N_t} \qquad (36)$$

The number of abovecondensate molecules is small as well.

$$\frac{2M'}{N_t} \simeq \frac{1}{2}\gamma^3\left(\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{3/4}$$

Correspondingly, the number of molecules in the condensate is

$$\frac{2M_0}{N_t} \simeq 1 - \frac{3}{8}\gamma^2\left(\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^3\left(\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_0}\right)^{3/4}$$

Equation (19) in this case takes the form

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 + 0.55g^2\frac{m^{3/2}\left|\mu\right|^{1/2}}{\pi^2} + \frac{m^{3/2}}{32\pi\left|\tilde{\varepsilon}_0\right|^{3/2}}g^4 M_0.$$

Hence, involving (25), we find for the sound velocity

$$C^2 \simeq \frac{3\pi}{256}\gamma^4\frac{\varepsilon_t}{m}\left(\frac{2\varepsilon_t}{\left|\tilde{\varepsilon}_0\right|}\right)^{3/2}. \qquad (37)$$

The appearance of the high power of small parameter in (37) is due to the interaction between molecules, which in this case occurs via virtually created Fermi atoms. The number of the latter atoms is small, see (36). Accordingly, $C^2$ tends to zero at $|\tilde{\varepsilon}_0| \to \infty$. Comparing (37), (35), (32), and (33), we see that the sound velocity increases gradually with the growth $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$, reaching its maximum value (33).

Superfluidity and critical velocity $v_c$ in the system considered are determined simultaneously by the spectra of single-particle Fermi excitations and collective Bose excitations. Accordingly, $v_c$ takes the minimum value from two velocities: $C$ and $\min(E_p/p)$. Quantity $E_p$ is determined by (14). For $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ in the vicinity of $2\varepsilon_t$, the critical velocity is governed by Fermi excitations and $v_c \to 0$ together with $M_0 \to 0$, $\Delta \to 0$, see (18). Velocity $\min(E_p/p)$ increases monotonously as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$ decreases. On the contrary, the sound velocity falls. The critical velocity therewith keeps to be governed by single-particle excitations up to $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2\varepsilon_t \simeq 1/3$. For smaller values $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0/2\varepsilon_t$ including the region of negative $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$, the critical velocity is determined by the sound velocity.

The work is supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Researches.

[1] E. Tiesinga, B. J. Verhaar, H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4114 (1993).

[2] C.A. Regal, G. Ticknor, J.L. Bohn, D.S. Jin, Nature **424**, 47 (2003).

[3] K.E. Strecker, G.B. Partrige, R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 080406 (2003).

[4] S. Jochim, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 240402 (2003).

[5] J. Cubizolles, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 240401 (2003).

[6] D.S. Petrov, C. Solomon, G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 090404 (2004).

[7] M. Greiner, C.A. Regal, D.S. Jin, Nature **426**, 537 (2003).

[8] S. Jochim, *et al.*, Science **302**, 2101 (2003).

[9] M.W. Zwierlein, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 250401 (2003).

[10] T. Bourdel, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 050401 (2004).

[11] M. Holland, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 120406 (2001).

[12] E. Timmermans, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. A **285**, 228 (2001).

[13] A. J. Leggett, in Modern Trends in Theory of Condensed Matter, p.13 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1980).

[14] P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Low. Temp. Phys. **59**, 195 (1985).

[15] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040403 (2004).

[16] M.W. Zwierlein, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120403 (2004).

[17] M. W. Zwierlein, *et al.*, cond-mat/0412675 (2004).

[18] Y. Ohashi, A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 063612 (2003); Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 130402 (2002).

[19] J. Stajic, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 063610 (2004).

[20] G.M. Bruun, C.J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 140404 (2004).

[21] G.M. Falco, H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 130401 (2004).

[22] A.V. Andreev, V. Gurarie, L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 130402 (2004).

[23] E.M. Lifshits, L.P. Pitaevskii, Statistical Physics, Part 2, (Pergamon, London, 1980).